

COVID-19 Treachery Against the US and the World

How Dr. Anthony Fauci Enabled China to Make SARS-CoV-2 and to Stockpile Biological Weapons

by Peter R. Breggin MD and Ginger R. Breggin¹

October 13, 2020

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report documents in detail how Dr. Anthony Fauci, head of the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), has been the major force behind a series of research activities and other government actions that enabled the Chinese Communist Party to create lethal SARS-coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV-2 and then to unleash it upon an unprepared world. Each of these activities continues into the present time, with the possible exception of directly funding the Wuhan Institute of Virology, which may have been recently stopped as ordered by President Trump.

This report will document and analyze the following activities by Dr. Fauci:

(1) Fauci promotes and continues to fund “gain-of-function” research with coronaviruses which turns benign animal viruses into human pathogens capable of causing pandemics.

(2) Until recently at least, Fauci funded Chinese researchers as collaborators with Americans in creating lethal coronaviruses, enabling the Chinese Communist Party and its military to make potential bioweapons on their own, including SARS-CoV-2.

(3) Fauci approved the Wuhan Institute as a highest level containment facility (known as BSL-4) capable of safely working with lethal viruses, while knowing the Institute had a very poor safety record and while also knowing that all such facilities in China are overseen by the military as part of its biowarfare program. He then funded research with lethal viruses that would take place in part in that flawed facility from SARS-CoV-2 originated.

(4) When blocked by President Barak Obama from funding “gain-of-function” studies in 2014, Fauci temporarily outsourced the research to the Wuhan Institute of Virology, while also covertly continuing to fund the major project, the direct collaboration between US and Chinese Wuhan Institute researchers in building lethal coronaviruses.

(5) Without fanfare, toward the end of the first year of the Trump administration, Fauci superseded President Obama’s moratorium without involving President Trump to restore gain-of-function research creating lethal viruses. The original moratorium was a direct order by President Obama on White House stationery while its undoing was a decision made within the National Institutes of Health and NIAID, probably without Trump’s knowledge.

(6) At the time of the outbreak of the pandemic in China, Fauci supported Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the corrupt Director-General of the World Health Association (WHO), to minimize the dangers of COVID-19. Fauci’s acts helped to delay worldwide preparation for the pandemic while providing no resistance to the Chinese spreading it worldwide with thousands international passenger flights.

(7) Fauci publically undermined President Trump’s criticism of Director-General Tedros, and China, reassuring the world that Tedros was a trustworthy and “outstanding” man, and indirectly that his allies in China were reliable and could be trusted.

(8) Following President Trump’s order in April 2020 that stopped gain-of-function research in collaboration with the Chinese, in October 2020 Fauci unleashed a surge of funding for gain-of-function research, some of it involving Chinese researchers and some involving the Eco-Health Alliance, which has been Fauci’s main conduit for funding Chinese researchers and the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

(9) Fauci holds himself out as the ultimate source of objective scientific information while in fact collaborating with global pharmaceutical firms and globalists such as Tedros at WHO and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundations all of whose power and influence escalated as the effects of COVID-19 and the shutdowns have worsened.

(10) In a recent scientific publication Fauci has continued to dismiss the very high probability—the near certainty—that SARS-CoV-2 was created by Chinese researchers working with the military and released, accidentally or purposely, from the Wuhan Institute of Virology. In claiming that SARS-CoV-2 emerged from nature, Fauci continues to protect China to the endangerment of America and the rest of the world.

(11) Recently, in the same scientific publication, Fauci disclosed and advocated for his personal and extremely radical political agenda with the aim of protecting the world from pathogens in nature by vastly reducing or stopping “human-made” “aggressive” interventions into nature.² Thus the American official most responsible for creating SARS-CoV-2 in a lab instead blames its origins on human interventions into the environment and nature, thereby completely exonerating himself while holding humanity responsible.

(12) Overall, Fauci has perpetrated an extraordinarily destructive worldwide cycle of events by enabling China to create SARS-CoV-2, then initially hiding its danger in collaboration with WHO, then enormously increasing his power and influence, and the wealth of his institute, by becoming the global management czar for the very pandemic that he helped to create.

In the above process, Fauci has done a great deal of other kinds of damage, for example, by suppressing the most effective, safest, and least expensive medication treatment (hydroxychloroquine), while falsifying research to promote an ineffective, dangerous, and highly expensive drug (remdesivir). He has also been supporting inflated COVID-19 case counts and

reported deaths from the CDC while implementing draconian measures to justify draconian public health measures that have no precedent and little or no scientific basis. These and additional damaging Fauci activities have already been reviewed in my extensive medical/legal report which was given to a federal court in Ohio as a part of initiating a lawsuit to stop the emergency measures being imposed on the citizens of that state.³ The lawsuit was brought by attorney Thomas Renz and is becoming a model for similar suits in other states.

This new report documents that the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) created SARS-CoV-2 and released it in late 2019 from the Wuhan Institute of Virology, which is a research center that functions as China's main biological warfare facility. The engineering of this viral line of coronavirus pathogens was done collaboratively in both US and Chinese labs, with the financial, moral, and political support of the US National Institutes of Health (NIH), and in particular NIH's Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) directed by Dr. Anthony Fauci.

Our new report finds that Dr. Fauci, the U.S. official with so much responsibility for shutting down the world for fear of COVID-19, is the same U.S. official who has contributed the most to the process that brought manmade COVID-19 into the world at China's Wuhan Institute of Virology. Fauci gained worldwide power by supporting the creation of the lethal coronaviruses and then rose to the pinnacle of power as the public health official leading the shutdown of human activity in response to this threat he enabled.

Fauci is also the same person who has recently published his grand scheme for permanently transforming and disrupting the lives of the 7.8 billion lives on Earth to conform to his utopian progressive vision of preventing further pandemics and creating a planet less aggressively exploited by "human-made" harms.

Due in part to our blog on April 14, 2020 and our video on April 15, 2020, President Trump learned about Fauci funding collaborative coronavirus research with China that ultimately empowered the Chinese to develop SARS-CoV-2. Then on April 17 the President announced he was beginning the process of cancelling the collaborative the funding.

However, Fauci has now risen from that seeming defeat, stronger than ever, to spread increased amounts of money into the network of scientists and facilities that ultimately resulted in the Chinese capacity to cause COVID-19. Whether or not any of the money is going directly to the Chinese government, Chinese scientists seem to be involved, and “gain-of-function” viral research is simply too dangerous to continue conducting, even by Americans on our own soil.

End of Summary

How the COVID-19 Outbreak Was Intentionally Inflicted on the World

Although we still do not know if the release of SARS-CoV-2 at Wuhan was originally intentional, we do know that the Chinese Communist Party *intentionally* halted domestic flights to and from Wuhan, a city of 11 million people, and the surrounding province of Hubei, while *intentionally* promoting flights from that region to the rest of the world. The same also happened in other cities, including Shanghai and Beijing—shutdowns of domestic flights while pushing international flights.^{4,5} *The Economic Times* summed up the Chinese lockdown:

While China continued to protest against international travel bans it successfully quarantined Wuhan and other affected cities. The total domestic lockdown of Hubei province and the flight ban imposed inside China had immediate effect. As per data from Tom Tom traffic index Wuhan had a traffic density of 60% in January while Shanghai and Beijing had nearly 80% density. After the total lockdown the average traffic density fell to below 10% in Wuhan and Shanghai during February and below

5% in Beijing. While implementing a total domestic lockdown in February, China kept assuring the world that the situation was not serious and fully under control.

Air traffic only gradually picked up. The *BBC News* reported at the end of August that “Air travel has been picking up gradually since the coronavirus grounded the majority of planes in February.”⁶

China continued in early February to demand that other countries stop banning flights from China or Wuhan, even though they had already implemented a ban on domestic flights and other forms of travel to and from Wuhan!⁷ In the face of increasing bans on flights to China by other nations, China continued for months afterward to operate and to press for increased flights from China to the world.⁸

The Chinese locked down Wuhan on January 16, 2020; but through all of January 2020, an estimated 4,000 people flew directly from Wuhan to the United States.⁹ Nineteen largely filled flights went to San Francisco and New York, with no enhanced screening.

Additionally in January, there were over 1,300 direct passenger flights from all of China to the United States, for a total of 381,000 travelers. About one-quarter were Americans returning home. In addition, a large uncounted number of people flew from China to the US through intermediate stops.

In sum, China flooded the US and the world with potentially infected people during January 2020 until President Trump stopped all flights from China at the end of January. Meanwhile, Fauci was against curtailing traffic from China, stating it would do little good (see next section).

Fauci, Tedros, and China

In its nefarious activities at the start of the pandemic in Wuhan, China was backed by World Health Organization (WHO) Director-General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, a patently corrupt totalitarian politician from Ethiopia,^{10,11,12} who, ironically, has been accused of covering up devastating cholera outbreaks in his own country by *The New York Times*¹³ and other sources.¹⁴ Tedros, who has had a conflicted relationship with the US, is closely allied to China, his second biggest donor after the US.

Meanwhile, Anthony Fauci, like the Director-General of WHO, was against Trump's ban on air travel. Fauci called the ban "irrelevant" because it could not prevent the virus from eventually spreading worldwide.¹⁵ In the same interview during which Fauci resisted any travel bans to and from China, he suggested that the virus might diminish (like the flu) when the weather changed:

The wild card here is that this is a brand new virus, this novel coronavirus, and we do not know if it's going to diminish as the weather gets warm. We can't count on that.

Anthony Fauci has been outspoken in his support of WHO's Tedros. On March 25, 2020, at critical moment early in the crisis, while standing beside President Trump at a nationally televised Coronavirus Task Force presentation, Fauci openly and publicly undermined Trump's concerns about Tedros.

The following pithy, revealing excerpt from the official White House transcript¹⁶ of the televised discussion demonstrates Fauci's willingness to undermine the president by promoting Tedros and while describing himself as leading a WHO phone call with Tedros a "few hours" earlier. Fauci also refuses to comment on the lack of transparency from China, a problem that led to China's covert infliction of the pandemic on the world. Fauci describes how he has known Tedros since Tedros was in Ethiopia—a time during which Tedros was accused of extraordinary

corruption and even indifference toward an Ethiopian epidemic. Fauci give us a big hint about just how close he is with Tedros, saying he had just gotten off the phone with him a few hours earlier in the day when he was leading a WHO phone call. “Tedros is really an outstanding person,” according to Fauci. This brief pithy exchange is extraordinarily revealing about Fauci:

PRESIDENT TRUMP: But the fact is that I have heard for years that [WHO] is very much biased toward China, so I don’t know. Doctor, do you want to you — do you want me to get you into this political mess?

DR. FAUCI: No, I don’t want you to do that. But I will. (Laughs.) So, Tedros is really an outstanding person. I’ve known him from the time that he was the Minister of Health of Ethiopia. I mean, obviously, over the years, anyone who says that the WHO has not had problems has not been watching the WHO. But I think, under his leadership, they’ve done very well. He has been all over this. I was on the phone with him a few hours ago leading a WHO call.

QUESTION FROM THE PRESIDENT. Praising China’s transparency, sir?

DR. FAUCI: No. No, I’m not — I’m not talking about China. You asked me about Tedros.

QUESTION FROM THE PRESIDENT. The World Health Organization was praising China for its transparency and leadership on their response to the pandemic.

DR. FAUCI: You know, I can’t comment on that because — I mean, I don’t have any viewpoint into it. I mean, I don’t — I don’t even know what your question is.

It is telling—even chilling—that a few hours before the Task Force meeting, Fauci was on the phone with Tedros. The ominous connections among the triad of Tedros’ WHO, China,

and Anthony Fauci's NIAID help to explain how China initially was praised rather than condemned for its handling of the coronavirus.

We shall see that in his most recent scientific publication, Fauci continues to wholly exonerate the Chinese Communist Party and the Wuhan Institute of Virology of any possible wrongdoing. He continues to promote the discredited claim¹⁷ that the coronavirus infection originated in a Wuhan wet market, where in fact no bats are sold, and none can be found for hundreds of miles.^{18, 19, 20} Meanwhile, simultaneously and coincidentally, the Wuhan Institute of Virology was making deadly coronaviruses in close proximity to this supposed "leap from nature," as this report will demonstrate.

While unleashing the virus on the world, China's government, the Communist Party, also *intentionally* withheld the existence of the internal epidemic, then claimed the virus came from a wet food market, while initially denying it could be transmitted by humans.²¹ However, on February 20, 2020, two Chinese researchers published a study proposing that the novel coronavirus was manmade, probably in a Chinese laboratory:²²

We noted two laboratories conducting research on bat coronavirus in Wuhan, one of which was only 280 meters from the seafood market. We briefly examined the histories of the laboratories and proposed that the coronavirus probably originated from a laboratory.

Other experts confirmed the probability that SARS-CoV originated from the Wuhan Institute,²³ where the Chinese were known to be engineering SARS-like bat coronaviruses into virulent human pathogens, similar to SARS-CoV-2.

Trump Stops Fauci's Funding US/Chinese Collaboration on Making Deadly Coronaviruses

For many years Anthony Fauci was funding collaborative research with China on how to engineer benign bat CoV viruses into highly infectious viruses, like SARS-CoV-2. As this report documents, that funding definitely contributed to China's ability to turn benign bat coronaviruses into deadly viruses similar to or the same as SARS-CoV-19. Fauci continued to fund the deadly collaboration with the Chinese until mid-April, 2020.

In March or early April 2020 we discovered published papers from 2015 and 2016 describing the collaborative research and we were astounded by it. How could we be helping China down a path that inevitably would lead to their ability to make bioweapons out of coronaviruses?

On April 14 we published our first report about the US/China collaboration, followed the next day by a video.²⁴ We then sent it to the media and to people as close to the President as we could get it.²⁵ On April 17, a *Newsmax* reporter asked Trump about collaboration with China at a press conference. The President described how he was aware of the project, and replied "We will end that grant very quickly."²⁶ In less than 48 hours President Trump went over Fauci's head to stop the funding.²⁷

The funding that was cancelled went far beyond the collaborative US/China research led by Menachery et al. (2015 and 2016) on creating viruses able to infect humans. It included a broad range of viral research being conducted with Chinese scientists and the Wuhan Institute.^{28,29} In the media, the cancelled activities were collectively referred to as "the project" or "the grant" and identified as being funded by Fauci's NIAID through the EcoHealth Alliance. We have not found any information about how many similar projects may still exist. It appears that some of the funds came directly from NIAID, without going through EcoHealth Alliance,

because NIAID is identified as a source of funding in various published papers and EcoHealth Alliance in others.

In the meanwhile, Trump's order to stop the research has focused on collaboration with China, which reportedly has been stopped; but the President's order apparently has not addressed gain-of-function research done here in the United States by our researchers and Fauci has recently renewed funding for it through EcoHealth Alliance. Due to Fauci's persistence, research involving the creation of lethal viruses continues in the United States (see next section).

Fauci Awards Huge Grants for Dangerous International and Collaborative Viral Research

On August 29, 2020, Maria Godoy from *NPR* wrote a reported aptly titled, "Group Whose NIH Grant For Virus Research Was Revoked Just Got A New Grant." The report summarized:

The National Institutes of Health has awarded a grant worth \$7.5 million over five years to EcoHealth Alliance, a U.S.-based nonprofit that hunts emerging viruses. The award comes months after NIH revoked an earlier grant to EcoHealth, a move scientists widely decried as the politically motivated quashing of research vital to preventing the next coronavirus pandemic.

EcoHealth Alliance is one of 11 institutions and research teams receiving grants from NIH, announced this week, to establish the Centers for Research in Emerging Infectious Diseases. The global network will monitor pathogens that emerge in wildlife and study how and where they go on to infect humans.

Peter Daszak, president of EcoHealth Alliance, told *NPR* that none of the new money was going to China. Daszak also claimed that China's research into viruses has been stopped by Trump's withdrawal of funds from it, an outcome that seems extremely unlikely. While we

believe that Fauci and the US enabled China to accelerate its efforts, we have no illusions that they cannot proceed without us. One escaped Chinese scientist says that China now has the world's largest stockpile of coronaviruses suited for biowarfare and has no technical problems in turning benign ones into virulent ones³⁰ and an informed former military officer takes the scientist's warning seriously.³¹

Most concerning, the language that Daszak uses is an exact description of the controversial gain-of-function research originally stopped by President Obama and quietly reinstated by NIH and Fauci. Daszak told *NPR*:

"The next step in that research is to sequence the whole genome of those viruses and say, could they bind to human cells? Does this look like a virus that could potentially emerge?"

This is the research that we shall see was first cancelled by President Obama and then reinstated by Fauci under President Trump, without his knowledge, and then stopped by President Trump in April 2020 after he learned about it. It is the research that led to the creation of SARS-CoV-2. It is euphemistically called "gain-of-function" research.

Here is the process. First, the genome of the virus is mapped. Then an attempt is made to see if the virus has the potential to invade human cells. This cannot be determined by simply eyeballing the virus. This can only be done—and was done in the research with China cancelled by President Trump—by physically modifying the coronavirus in the lab to enable it to attach to a **human lung cell** extraction. This is the biggest step in making it into a SARS-corona virus capable of producing a **Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome** in humans. Then, if the virus can be made to attach to human lung cell preparations, it is given to a mouse or other small animal to see if will attach to the lungs and thereby harm a living mammal. When it does, as it did to mice

in the studies that Trump cancelled, the researchers have reached near certainty that they have created a virus that is potentially harmful or deadly to humans.

Put simply, Fauci, along with his partners around the world, have done an end run around President Trump and his predecessor President Obama, to continue research aimed at creating pandemic viruses.

More News About Fauci's New Funding of Viral Research

Almost a week after the *PRN* article, on September 6, 2020 Col. Lawrence Sellin (Ret.) of the Citizen's Commission on National Security warned about the *overall* NIAID grant, totalling \$82 million, which include many institutions in addition to EcoHealth Alliance. He mentioned virology research at University of Texas that specifically involves multiple Chinese-connected researchers.³² As a retired military officer concerned with security, this did not seem like a good idea to Col. Sellin.

The grant, which had been officially announced by NIAID on August 27, 2020,³³ will indeed fund Peter Daszak of the EcoHealth Alliance, Inc. for its Emerging Infectious Diseases-South East Asia Research *Collaboration* Hub. Daszak's EcoHealth Alliance has been Fauci's main source of *collaboration* with the Chinese, which President Trump cancelled. This new grant strongly suggests that Fauci is continuing to fund collaboration with China on viral research.

We agree with Col. Sellin who believes this new grants require investigation.

Why It is Unsafe to Create Deadly Viruses in Labs

The Wuhan Institute, which had in 2015 become China's first laboratory to achieve the highest level of international bioresearch containment (known as BSL-4), had a well-known

record of poor security,^{34, 35} making a leak highly probable. Indeed, leaks and other mishaps involving dangerous infectious agents had been occurring at US CDC facilities,^{36,37,38} as well as the United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, in Fort Detrick, Md., which was temporarily shut down by the CDC.³⁹ All this is well-known to Anthony Fauci and untold hundreds or thousands of scientists and has been described in the public media.

Even excluding sabotage or theft, there is no way to prevent these invisible, difficult-to-detect, organisms from escaping containment by one route or another, such as physical mishaps, human contamination, accidentally sending a dangerous agent to the wrong place, and infinite ways we cannot anticipate in advance. Their “emergence” by accident or design from a lab where humans are creating them is far more likely than a pathogenic virus emerging through the slow, haphazard process of evolution and then finding a human to attach to.

In defiance of common sense, a 2017 paper ominously titled, “Jumping species—a mechanism for coronavirus persistence and survival,” Menachery gave his rationalization for doing the dangerous research that we would highlight and that Trump would stop:⁴⁰

Zoonotic transmission [jumping from an animal to human] of novel viruses represents a significant threat to global public health and is fueled by globalization, the loss of natural habitats, and exposure to new hosts. For coronaviruses (CoVs), broad diversity exists within bat populations and uniquely positions them to seed future emergence events. In this review, we explore the host and viral dynamics that shape these CoV populations for survival, amplification, and possible emergence in novel hosts.

It is astonishing that Menachery, and apparently all those associated with the research, claim to be heading off the rare event of a novel coronavirus jumping to humans, while they

themselves intentionally make it happen—creating a “jumper” virus in the lab—while giving it wide distribution to labs around the world, including in China.

The ability of the project to make a pathogen out of the coronavirus in no way indicates that there is even the slightest chance of the same thing happening in nature. After all, it took a multi-million dollar several-year collaborative research effort involving many extraordinary technologies and a large numbers of scientists from two nations to purposely turn this harmless virus into a virulent one. Along the way, the process required many intermediate steps, each step requiring careful reasoning and considerable trial and error, all with a very specific purpose in mind. How likely is it that in the natural evolution of bats one of their viruses one would mindlessly and without purpose take a multiple array of steps *by chance* to become a pathogen with pandemic potential and then to find a human to infect? The human lab can accomplish in a relatively short time what it would take millions of years to happen by chance through evolution—if it would ever happen at all. Any increase in the rate of appearance of new pathogens here on Earth is far more likely to be caused by accidental or purposeful release from a lab that is in the process of making ordinary viruses into pathogens.

There is agreement among many scientists that odds of a natural pandemic vs. a manmade one are very small. Concerned scientists have argued in statistical detail that the risk of an epidemic coming out of a lab producing dangerous viruses is high compared to a rare emergence from nature.⁴¹ As already noted, there have been multiple accidental releases of potentially deadly viruses from laboratories in recent times, including the CDC’s labs.^{42,43} The escape of a manmade virus, intentionally or not, is infinitely more likely than a lone bat virus evolving into a pathogen in nature and then finding a human host.

In nature, a novel virus attacking a human, causing a unique disease, and becoming an epidemic must begin with a rare chance of a genetic variation through biological evolution which is a very slow, hit-and-miss process, usually involving extraordinary periods of time. Then the chance variation among the many millions of bats flying around must have an equally rare chance to meet and to infect a suitable human host, who must then infect at least one human before dying, etc. These bats live in rural caves hundreds of miles away from Wuhan, adding to the unlikelihood of such an event. All of this makes a jump from nature to humans extremely unlikely compared to the accidental escape of one of the SARS-CoV viruses already stored or being created at the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

A More Dangerous Risk Than Accidental Release When Creating Virulent Lab Viruses

As this report has already suggested, there is a more frightening risk associated with making viruses that are potentially deadly to humanity—they can fall into the wrong hands and become bioweapons. What Fauci has been doing is doubly catastrophic, first, in the making of deadly viruses and, second, in collaborating with China in mutually creating obvious bioweapons.

American/Chinese Collaborative Research that Led to the Engineering of SARS-CoV-2

The decade of research that ultimately led directly to the lab-creation of SARS-CoV-2 was highlighted in two scientific papers with lead researchers from the University of North Carolina, along with two Chinese virologists from the Wuhan Institute of Virology. The senior author of the two papers was Vineet D. Menachery, PhD (Menachery et al., 2015⁴⁴ and Menachery et al. 2016⁴⁵).

The title of the 2015 paper indicates that they were working with SARS-CoV viruses: “A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence.” The phrase, “shows potential for human emergence” was highly misleading and promotional. The article describes how they *created* a SARS-CoV virus capable of infecting humans.

This American/Chinese collaboration published its initial results in 2015 and 2016 when it described putting a protein “spike” on an innocuous bat coronavirus that turned it into SARS-CoV pathogen able to attach to and invade cells lining the human lung. The new SARS-CoV was deadly to mice, especially older or physically compromised animals, and was remarkably harmless to younger animals. It was shown to attack human lung tissue in the lab. The virus seemed immune to current treatments and a successful vaccine could not be made. This presaged the engineering of SARS-CoV-2.

In both the 2015 and the 2016 papers, the first listed source of funds was Fauci’s NIAID. In the first one, the Chinese government is also listed as funding the project. This work was a testimonial to globalism without regard for the safety of the United States—or even that of the world.

Chinese Contributions to the Collaboration

The collaboration with the Chinese was intimate and critical. The two Chinese authors were researchers Xing-Yi Ge and Zhengli-Li Shi. In the 2015 paper, both identified themselves as from the “Key Laboratory of Special Pathogens and Biosafety, Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China.” The acknowledgements describe how the Chinese researchers as members of the team worked actively with the virus in their own Wuhan Institute laboratory.

Although no Chinese authors are listed on the 2016 publication, the Acknowledgements “Dr. Zhengli-Li Shi of the Wuhan Institute of Virology” who provided, among other things, materials to make the “spike protein” used to enable the virus able to infect human cells. This is the same Dr. Zhengli-Li Shi who was a coauthor of the 2015 paper. Her continued involvement confirms the ongoing close relationship between the American and Chinese researchers. She is director of the specific Wuhan Institute lab that extracts viruses from bats and makes them pathogenic for humans. After COVID-19 broke out of China, she would be featured admirably in the American scientific media as the “bat lady,” accompanied by her self-serving denials that SARS-CoV-2 had escaped from her facility.⁴⁶

The other Chinese author of the 2015 paper, Xing-Yi Ge, is also a very important researcher in China’s virology programs. Ge was the lead author among about 20 researchers in 2013, most of them directly involved with the Wuhan Institute, who published a seminal article in the research chain leading up to SARS-CoV-2.⁴⁷ Titled “Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor,” it studied the capacity of the coronavirus to be engineered into a pathogen that could be made to connect to the ACE2 human receptor. It too was funded in part by the Chinese and by Fauci’s NIAID and, in this case, multiple other U.S. agencies.⁴⁸ Peter Daszak, who heads EcoHealth Alliance, the organization that was separately funneling money from Fauci’s institute to Chinese gain-of-function research,⁴⁹ was listed as an author.

Daszak and his organization is funded not only by Fauci’s NIAID but many other NIH-affiliated organizations, the CDC, the National Science Foundation, and other government agencies.⁵⁰ Its list of partners and consultants spans the world including the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundations; and many great universities in the US, England, and China. Multiple countries and international agencies are involved and the word “global” is used 14 times in the few descriptive pages of this nonprofit.

The Origins and Support of the Wuhan Institute

According to the April 27, 2020 *Newsweek*:⁵¹

In 2019, with the backing of NIAID, the National Institutes of Health committed \$3.7 million over six years for research that included some gain-of-function work. The program followed another \$3.7 million, 5-year project for collecting and studying bat coronaviruses, which ended in 2019, bringing the total to \$7.4 million. ...

The NIH research consisted of two parts. The [first part](#) began in 2014 and involved surveillance of bat coronaviruses, and had a budget of \$3.7 million. The program funded Shi Zheng-Li, a virologist at the Wuhan lab, and other researchers to investigate and catalogue bat coronaviruses in the wild. This part of the project was completed in 2019.

A [second phase](#) of the project, beginning that year, included additional surveillance work but also gain-of-function research for the purpose of understanding how bat coronaviruses could mutate to attack humans. The project was run by EcoHealth Alliance, a non-profit research group, under the direction of president Peter Daszak, an expert on disease ecology. NIH canceled the project just this past Friday, April 24th, Politico reported. Daszak did not immediately respond to Newsweek requests for comment.

Peter Daszak and EcoHealth Alliance, as we noted above, were also well-known conduits for additional money from Fauci's NIH Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases.⁵²

Newsweek also commented, "SARS-CoV-2, the virus now causing a global pandemic, is believed to have originated in bats. U.S. intelligence, after originally asserting that the coronavirus had occurred naturally, conceded last month that the pandemic may have originated

in a leak from the Wuhan lab.” *Newsweek* would never make the connection, but the process was apparent—Fauci funding ultimately led directly to the making of SARS-CoV-2.

The research connections between the US and China are deep and complex, including Fauci’s NIAID funding for gain-of-function studies going to U.S. projects that influenced China, to U.S./Chinese collaborations, to Chinese researchers in their own projects, and to the Wuhan Institute itself.

Fauci and NIAID Were Central to the Chain of Activities that Led to SARS-CoV-2

Our focus is on the role of NIH and particularly Fauci and his NIH Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases, in helping to bring about this chain of events leading to the pandemic. This is a series of events requiring enormous manpower and funding, and the overcoming of political obstacles, in which Americans collaborated with and financially supported Chinese researchers and the Wuhan Institute of Virology under the watchful eye of Fauci, culminating in China’s ability to engineer SARS-CoV-2 from bat viruses.

Efforts to enhance the virulence of viruses are euphemistically called “gain-of-function” studies—perhaps to hide their deadliness. Fauci is a strong advocate of vaccines and he works closely with Bill Gates and his foundation where he is on Gates’ elite international vaccine board called the Leadership Council.⁵³ It is telling that Fauci was so key to enabling the Chinese to make SARS-CoV-2 and then became the international treatment czar for the worldwide affliction that he helped to create—and now wants to lead us down the road of worldwide public health totalitarianism to save us from “human-made” environmental destruction that allegedly encourages the emergence of pathogens from nature.⁵⁴

The US Government Bans Fauci's Research in 2014

There were key moments when Fauci could have turned back and stopped funding our collaborative research with Chinese researchers and the military-controlled⁵⁵ Wuhan Institute. Instead he was temporarily stopped by a Presidential decree.

In 2014, President Obama declared a moratorium on research exactly like that being conducted by Menachery et al. in collaboration with China on “gain-of-function” research. At that time, the Menachery studies, which were actively moving along, should have come to a halt. Here is the opening of the October 17, 2014 declaration from the “White House: President Barack Obama”:⁵⁶

Doing Diligence to Assess the Risks and Benefits of Life Sciences

Gain-of-Function Research

Summary:

The White House Office of Science and Technology Policy and Department of Health and Human Services today announced that the U.S. Government is launching a deliberative process to assess the potential risks and benefits associated with a subset of life sciences research known as “gain-of-function” studies.

Following recent biosafety incidents at Federal research facilities, the U.S. Government has taken a number of steps to promote and enhance the Nation's biosafety and biosecurity, including immediate and longer term measures to review activities specifically related to the storage and handling of infectious agents. ...

Because the deliberative process launching today will aim to address key questions about the risks and benefits of gain-of-function studies, during the period

of deliberation, the U.S. Government will institute a pause on funding for any new studies that include certain gain-of-function experiments involving influenza, SARS, and MERS viruses. Specifically, the funding pause will apply to gain-of-function research projects that may be reasonably anticipated to confer attributes to influenza, MERS, or SARS viruses such that the virus would have enhanced pathogenicity and/or transmissibility in mammals via the respiratory route.

That description exactly fits the collaborative studies between U.S. researchers and Chinese scientists from the Wuhan Institute who were only months away from publishing a scientific paper on the creation of a gain-of-function virus by engineering a bat virus to make it virulent and able to infect humans. *No other research has surfaced which so perfectly fits what President Obama was trying to stop—but it would not be stopped!*

The Obama government’s description of the moratorium continues:

During this pause, the U.S. Government will not fund any new projects involving these experiments and encourages those currently conducting this type of work – whether federally funded or not – to voluntarily pause their research while risks and benefits are being reassessed.

This White House order could not be clearer. During the time when the government was investigating the risks of “gain-of-function” research, it would not start funding any new projects and it asked all on-going projects to “voluntarily pause their research while the risks and benefits are being assessed.”

Menachery et al. Struggle to Keep their Research Alive

In their 2015 publication, Menachery et al. acknowledged the existence of the moratorium on gain-of-function studies, but expressed the belief that it did not necessarily cover them because they did not initially anticipate that they could have succeeded in creating a virulent virus! However, a November 9, 2015 interview,⁵⁷ given while Menachery's study was on the way to publication, indicates he had been stopped, temporarily at least:

He [Menachery] and his co-authors noted they had to stop some of their work because of US government policies. The US has a moratorium on so-called gain-of-function research, which includes some research that enhances the ability of a pathogen such as a virus to infect people or spread among them.

There is in fact no evidence that they slowed down their research because they published their results shortly thereafter in December 2015 and again in 2016 without indicating any interference with it.

By the end of 2015 NIH granted an exception to the dangerous Menachery study, allowing it to continue. According to *Nature*:⁵⁸

*The latest study was already under way before the US moratorium began, and the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) allowed it to proceed while it was under review by the agency, says Ralph Baric, an infectious-disease researcher at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and a co-author of the study. **The NIH eventually concluded that the work was not so risky as to fall under the moratorium, he says.***
(bold added).

In short, the National Institutes of Health decided that Fauci's pet project—the epitome of a gain-of-function study—was not really dangerous enough to be stopped under President Obama's edict. The result was a continuation of the chain of events leading to SARS-CoV-2.

NIH Strikes Back

December 19, 2017, under the Trump Administration, NIH announced that it was lifting the ban set by President Obama on gain-of-function research, while adding new restrictions to the research.^{59,60} Unlike Obama's ban which came from the White House on the President's official stationery, there is no indication that Trump was involved in the lengthy analysis that predated him. *The New York Times* commented, "There has been a long, fierce debate about projects — known as "gain of function" research — intended to make pathogens more deadly or more transmissible."⁶¹ Fauci simply did an end run on the dissent, ignoring it, and quietly lifting the ban.

In the various establishment analyses I have read about the controversy surrounding gain-of-function research, including one in *Lancet* in early 2018,⁶² there is no hint that any of the studies involved funding China. Even more striking, no mention is made of the most important gain-of-function studies of all, the American/Chinese collaboration by Menachery, published in 2015 and 2016. A *New York Times* article on the same subject,⁶³ mistakenly claimed that under Obama's ban, all research was halted, including that on SARS, when in fact the SARS research by Menachery et al. rushed ahead to publication during that time. It then mentions exceptions that were allowed to proceed, but none are SARS-related. It's as if an invisible curtain had been placed over this extremely dangerous research that eventually helped the Chinese capacity to engineer SARS-CoV-2.

The *Times* article does warn against publishing dangerous information, comparing it to the risk of publishing atomic secrets. But it fails to mention we were actually *collaborating with China*, creating a much greater risk that merely publishing information about the research. It is

no exaggeration to say that collaborating with China on building virulent, epidemic viruses was at least as dangerous as collaborating with them or the Russians on building atomic weapons.

Meanwhile, the Research of Menachery et al. seems to have been unaffected by all of these political machinations. We believe this demonstrates the determination of NIH and especially Fauci's NIH Institute for Allergy and Infectious Disease, to maintain their "gain-of-function" research despite all opposition and all reason.

Ignoring the Elephant in the Room

The elephant in the room is that the U.S. funded and supported China's efforts to build up its capacity for biological warfare. We could find no mention or discussion of this threat on the part of the Obama or Trump administration or anyone else in a position of responsibility or authority, or in the major media.

A number of less-than-major media described Fauci as outsourcing his gain-of-function ambitions to China during the controversy over banning it in the U.S. The *Asia Times*⁶⁴ published an analysis with this disturbing headline, "Why US outsourced bat virus research to Wuhan," followed by the subhead, "US-funded \$3.7 million project approved by Trump's Covid-19 guru Dr. Anthony Fauci in 2015 after US ban imposed on 'monster-germ' research."

In April 2020, as COVID-19 spread, the British newspaper *Daily Mail Online* quoted a US lawmaker's outrage over directly funding the Wuhan Institute:⁶⁵

US Congressman Matt Gaetz said: "I'm disgusted to learn that for years the US government has been funding dangerous and cruel animal experiments at the Wuhan Institute, which may have contributed to the global spread of coronavirus, and research at other labs in China that have virtually no oversight from US authorities."

An analysis⁶⁶ published in May 2020 by M. Dowling in the *Independent Sentinel*, again described Fauci as outsourcing gain-of-function research to China. It helped break ground as we had done a month earlier, by pointing to the danger of giving biological weapons to China:

TRUSTING MAOISTS

Dependency on Communists, trusting Communists, what could possibly go wrong?

President Trump's administration is investigating the \$3.7 million in tax dollars that went to the Wuhan lab and Matt Gaetz called for an immediate end to NIH funding of Chinese research. Whether anything will come of it is questionable.

The ban on GOF [Gain-of-Function] research in the USA has been lifted. Maybe the USA shouldn't do it either. When mankind plays with nature, it usually doesn't go well.

Unfortunately, our press doesn't investigate or even ask pertinent questions. Some reporters are just too stupid or biased to bother.

Imagine if President Trump said our CDC is incompetent so I will pay Russia to do our GOF research?

When the original research paper was published in 2015, it did not go unnoticed. The dangers inherent in creating new human coronavirus pathogens in the Menachery research were discussed in a commentary by Jef Akst in *The Scientist* on November 16, 2015, along with NIH's decision to allow the research to continue.⁶⁷ Unfortunately, as so often continues to happen, the danger of the Chinese collaboration went unmentioned! Instead, there is an addendum added to the original report trying to dismiss any such association or concern:

Update (March 11, 2020): On social media and news outlets, a theory has circulated that the coronavirus at the root of the COVID-19 outbreak originated in a research lab. Scientists say there is no evidence that the SARS-CoV-2 virus escaped from a lab.

Notice that in the update, the Wuhan Institute is not even mentioned, and instead the article refers to “escaped from a lab.” Reports from *Nature*, *The Scientist*, and *Scientific American* among many others, confirm that the progressive media and the scientific community were desperately trying to avoid throwing suspicion on the Chinese Communists for any role in COVID-19, including avoiding any mention that the US government was collaborating with the Wuhan Institute in turning routine bat viruses into pathogens deadly to humans, directly helping the Chinese Communist Party develop bioweapons.

Here we see the influence of globalism. People knew each other, people made money from each other, science trumped national security—any kind of funded collaborative research with China was almost untouchable and beyond criticism.

If asked, some of the individual scientists would probably have said that “science” is pure and should be shared among competing and even hostile nations for the sake of science and peace. But that innocence is not what rules globalism. The prevailing attitude among globalists seems to be: Never put America first, put our global friends and interests ahead of everything.

Of all the technologies we have given to China, how to make highly infectious and lethal viruses from bat viruses may be the most dangerous. Yet, there was a nearly total blackout on US funding for China building biological weapons displayed by the media, science commentators, and politicians. This confirmed the pervasiveness of the globalist viewpoint that has no special interest in protecting American interests or seemingly even in America’s survival,

and perhaps not even in the world's survival, while fortunes are being made and power is being accrued.

Globalists, when using science to justify totalitarian control, talk about “science” as if it were a universal spirit or god. Since science is a creation of human beings, it is neither perfect nor pure, but always depends on the human source with all the biases and corruptions and, yes, idealism that humans live by.⁶⁸ Meanwhile, we must make sure that Donald Trump's April 2020 halt of funding for Menachery's research remains in place and becomes expanded to all gain-of-function research, much as President Obama's declaration originally set out to do. Fauci and his NIH Institute for Allergy and Infectious Disease will do everything they can to get around it. And we must recognize the danger that China now poses as a nation well-armed with the resources to produce innumerable kinds of SARS-CoV pandemics.

Escaped Chinese Scientist Confirms the Worst

On April 28, 2020, Li-Meng Yan (MD, PhD), an experienced Chinese virologist at the Hong Kong School of Public Health, escaped to the United States.^{69,70} Dr. Yan explained to the media that she left China in order to tell the world about China's coverup about the real source of the deadly pandemic, the Wuhan Institute. She has remained in hiding since, while talking to newspapers and appearing on Tucker Carlson on the *Fox News Channel* on September 15, 2020.⁷¹ She told Tucker, “This virus, COVID-19 SARS-CoV-2 virus, actually is not from nature. It is a manmade virus created in the lab.”

On September 14, 2020 Dr. Yan and three colleagues put online a prepublication version of their new paper, confirming that SARS-CoV-2 is a manmade product of Chinese laboratories.⁷²

To describe China's background in developing the spike protein, Yan et al. explained that this method has been "repeatedly" used in laboratories to create "human-infecting" coronaviruses of non-human origin. To document their observation, the authors cite four research publications. Two of the four citations are to the 2015 and 2016 Menachery papers that involved collaborations with Chinese researchers. A third paper is also American in origin and does not involve Chinese researchers; but like the first two, it too is supported by Fauci's Institute.⁷³ This array of three papers shows the direct connection between Fauci-funding and China's ability to build SARS-CoV-2. The fourth paper involved neither US researchers nor US funding.

Yan et al. also cite the 2015 Menachery paper to show that the Wuhan Institute of Virology has been working on studies to make these "human-infecting viruses." This directly links the Chinese success in gain-of-function research to their collaboration with the U.S. project funded by Fauci.

Yan et al. then go on to make that chilling observation that the Wuhan Institute now possesses "the world's largest collection of coronaviruses." They follow this with another chilling observation that there is no longer any "technical barrier" to the Chinese turning these viruses into infectious ones through "engineering" a virus to give it "gain-of-function," that is, the ability to infect humans.

This means that the Chinese now have the unlimited ability to keep manufacturing pandemic viruses.

Finally, Yan and her colleagues link the engineering history of SARS-CoV-2 directly to China's military. Here is one of seven references to the military's involvement in developments leading to SARS-CoV-2:

The genomic sequence of SARS-CoV-2 is suspiciously similar to that of a bat coronavirus discovered by military laboratories in the Third Military Medical University (Chongqing, China) and the Research Institute for Medicine of Nanjing Command (Nanjing, China).

Yan and her colleagues took great risks in putting their scientific paper online, linking SARS-CoV-2 to the Chinese military. In her personal interviews, many in August 2020, she has been very direct in blaming the Chinese Communist Party and its military. The headline of one interview makes Yan's view unmistakably clear:⁷⁴

Li-Meng Yan: Coronavirus was developed in Chinese military lab:

The Chinese virologist, who claims she fled to the U.S. after receiving threats due to her research, has accused the Chinese military of creating Covid-19

In the first week of October 2020, Dr. Yan reported to several media that her mother had been imprisoned in China in retaliation for her criticism of the Communist government.

On October 8, 2020, Dr. Yan and her colleagues put online a second prepublication article.⁷⁵ The thrust of the highly technical publication is that China not only created SARS-CoV-2, it prepared the way by creating fake viruses as supposed natural precursors. According to Yan et al., from this and other manipulations of science and scientific publications, the Chinese demonstrate their intention to purposely release the virus as a bioweapon against humanity. As we go to publication, and having reviewed some of the critiques of the article, we do not feel able to conclude from the article whether China intentionally released the virus as a bioweapon (see Col. Sellin's observations in the next section).

Important Revelations from Col. Lawrence Selling (Ret)

The Citizens Commission on National Security (CCNS) is a conservative organization dominated by high-ranking military officers including many generals and well-known conservatives, including [Lt. General Thomas McInerney \(Ret.\)](#) and [Former Congressman and Army Lieutenant Colonel Allen West \(Ret.\)](#). Its stated purpose is to “Strengthen America’s National Security.” As noted earlier, one of its members, [Col. Lawrence Sellin \(Ret.\)](#),⁷⁶ has been writing detailed analyses of many of the issues addressed in this and in our earlier report, and his writings on COVID-19 are a valuable resource.⁷⁷

An October 1, 2020 article by Sellin examined the question, “Is the COVID-19 Pandemic a Case of Vaccine Research Gone Wrong?”⁷⁸ In this report, he looks at the intricacies of the engineering involved in creating SARS-CoV-2, turning the bat virus into one highly infectious in human beings, and suggests the virus was attenuated by Chinese research at the Wuhan Institute:

In an attempt to dominate global vaccine research and development, China may have hurriedly and recklessly applied genetic engineering techniques, creating and leaking a highly infectious and deadly coronavirus causing a worldwide pandemic.

Earlier, in August 2020, Sellin evaluated the publication by Yan et al. (above) after the senior author escaped from China, citing an August review of her interviews titled:⁷⁹

**Refugee Hong Kong Virologist Links COVID-19
to Chinese Military Laboratory**

Sellin provides a good summary of a central portion of Yan’s argument:

SARS-CoV-2 has signs of serial passaging and the direct genetic insertion of novel amino acids sequences for which no natural evolutionary pathway has been identified.

Although SARS-CoV-2 appears to have the “backbone” of bat coronaviruses, its spike protein, which is responsible for binding to the human cell and its membrane fusion-driven entry, has sections that do not appear in any closely-related bat coronaviruses.

SARS-CoV-2’s receptor binding domain, the specific element that binds to the human cell, has a [ten times greater](#) binding affinity than the first SARS virus that caused the 2002-2003 pandemic.

Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 appears to be “[pre-adapted](#)” for human infection and has not [undergone](#) a similar natural mutation process within the human population that was observed during the 2002-2003 SARS outbreak.

Those observations plus the inexplicable genetic distance between SARS-CoV-2 and any of its potential bat predecessors suggest an accelerated evolutionary process obtained by laboratory-based serial passaging through genetically-engineered mouse models containing humanized receptors previously [developed](#) by China.

Perhaps most important, Sellin cites a summary of interviews given by Dr. Yan, including live quotes from the doctor, that provides a very valuable resource worth reviewing in depth.⁸⁰ Sellin summarizes Yan’s detailed disclosures:

Li-Meng Yan, a Chinese virologist who says she fled the country after receiving threats due to her concerns about the origins of COVID-19 and accuses the Chinese Communist Party of a cover-up, [claims](#) that the novel coronavirus originated in a military laboratory overseen by the People’s Liberation Army.

On September 6, 2020, Sellin published a blog titled “Did Fauci’s NIH Institute Financially Assist China’s Military?”⁸¹ Sellin drew upon his military intelligence background to make observations on Fauci’s funding of the Chinese military. He opened with the following observation: “A

disturbing pattern of cooperation between Dr. Anthony Fauci's NIAID and the Chinese military raises questions about technology transfer and the origins of the current COVID-19 pandemic." Here is his entire blog, with the links included:

A disturbing pattern of cooperation between Dr. Anthony Fauci's NIAID and the Chinese military raises questions about technology transfer and the origins of the current COVID-19 pandemic.

U.S. patent number [8933106](#) entitled "2-(4-substituted phenylamino) polysubstituted pyridine compounds as inhibitors of non-nucleoside HIV reverse transcriptase, preparation methods and uses thereof" is assigned to the Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences of China's People's Liberation Army.

One of the inventors of that patent, Shibo Jiang, is a graduate of the First and Fourth Medical University of the People's Liberation Army, Xi'an, China.

He is a long-time collaborator with institutions associated with the Chinese military and, since 1997, a recipient of U.S. government research grants from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), headed by Dr. Anthony Fauci.

In one of the two scientific references used to support the above-mentioned patent

"Discovery of diarylpyridine derivatives as novel non-nucleoside HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitors," Shibo Jiang is listed as a co-author, along with the four other inventors on the patent.

In the Acknowledgments section of that scientific publication, which supports the patent application, three separate NIAID grants are cited, two of which, AI46221 and AI33066, were awarded to co-inventors on the patent, Shibo Jiang and Kuo-Hsiung Lee, respectively.

Shibo Jiang and Kuo-Hsiung Lee are co-inventors on another U.S. patent, [8309602](#), also assigned to the Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences of China's People's Liberation Army.

Although no scientific publications are listed in the 8309602 patent, you can compare the chemical compounds with those in "Diarylaniline Derivatives as a Distinct Class of HIV-1 Nonnucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors," which has as co-authors all the co-inventors of the patent.

That research was also supported by three separate NIAID grants, two of which, AI46221 and AI33066, were awarded to co-inventors on the patent, Shibo Jiang and Kuo-Hsiung Lee, respectively.

NIAID funding of China's military research programs does not appear to be restricted to those two patents.

Since 2004, Shibo Jiang has had scientific collaboration with Yusen Zhou, who was a professor at the State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences in Beijing. It is unclear whether Yusen Zhou also received his education at one of China's military medical universities, but his early scientific work was associated with the Department of Infectious Disease, [81st Hospital](#) of the People's Liberation Army, Nanjing Military Command and the Fourth Medical University of People's Liberation Army, Xi'an, Shibo Jiang's alma mater.

Shibo Jiang and Yusen Zhou are listed as co-inventors on at least eight U.S. patents, the [references](#) supporting those patents, for example, [9889194](#), was research [funded](#) by NIAID.

Until his recent death, Yusen Zhou's collaboration with Shibo Jiang continued into the COVID-19 pandemic, publishing a July 30, 2020 [Science article](#) together with institutions associated with China's military.

In a 2014 [article](#), Shibo Jiang was working with the Institute of Biotechnology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, Beijing.

In 2017, he [conducted](#) research with the Translational Medicine Center, People's Liberation Army Hospital No. 454 and the Department of Epidemiology, Medicinal Research Institute, Nanjing Military Command.

Between 2012 and 2020, Shibo Jiang has published twelve scientific articles with the Wuhan Institute of Virology and eleven articles between 2013 and 2020 with the University of Texas Medical Branch (UTMB), Galveston Texas.

The UTMB has been designated one of the ten [Centers for Research in Emerging Infectious Diseases](#) newly funded by a NIAID grant totaling \$82 million. UTMB has at least two permanent faculty members trained at China's Military Medical Universities, has had connections to or former employees from the Wuhan Institute of Virology and Yusen Zhou's State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences in Beijing, as well as other Chinese institutions.

Another new center is the EcoHealth Alliance, a long-time collaborator with the Wuhan Institute of Virology, which has been awarded \$7.5 million.

Given the history described above and before any new funding is allocated, an investigation and auditing of previous NIAID grants should be undertaken to determine exactly how much U.S. taxpayer money has benefitted China's military.

A brief search of my own into the connections between the *University of Texas Medical Branch (UTMB)*, *Galveston Texas* and China quickly landed on a proud April 15, 2020 UTMB press release with the title “The Galveston National Lab and Wuhan Institute of Virology.”⁸² Here is an excerpt:

April 16, 2020 -- The Galveston National Laboratory, located on the campus of the University of Texas Medical Branch, is one of two university-based maximum containment (BSL-4) laboratories in the U.S. focused on the study of highly infectious diseases and the development of medical countermeasures. ... The lab is part of National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Biodefense Laboratory Network... Through our Biosafety Training Center, UTMB has provided laboratory safety and security training for scientists and operations personnel in more than 45 countries, including China. The relationship with Wuhan Institute of Virology and the GNL dates back to 2013 and has been facilitated through an ongoing dialogue co-sponsored by the Chinese Academies of Science and U.S. National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine, with cooperation from the Chinese CDC and others.

In recent years, we have provided training to scientists, biosafety and engineering professionals, including many from China. [bold is in the original; underline is added]

For many years, the federal government for many years has expressed a growing concern about the infiltration of American scientific programs by the Chinese students and scientists. It turns out that the Galveston National Lab at UTMB, the source of new funding by Fauci, has been a source of special concern because of its many ties to China. A detailed *Fox News* report⁸³ headlines, “Prominent

university bio lab urged to reveal extent of relationship with Wuhan lab at center of coronavirus outbreak.”

As Fauci pumps money in research programs in America such as the Galveston National Lab and lesser status programs, how hard will it be determine where exactly that money is going and how much national security related information will continue to be exchanged with China? Here is an excerpt from a letter from the General Counsel of the U.S. Department of Education trying to get more information out of UTMB and its Galveston National Lab about its complexities to China and its Wuhan Institute of Virology:⁸⁴

Between June 6, 2014, and June 3, 2019, UT reported approximately twenty-four contracts with various Chinese state-owned universities and ten contracts with Huawei Technologies, all purportedly worth a reported total of \$12,987,896. It is not clear, however, whether UT has in fact reported all gifts from or contracts with or relating to the Wuhan MCL, the Wuhan Institute of Virology, and/or all other foreign sources, including agents and instrumentalities of the Peoples' Republic of China. Therefore, to verify UT's compliance with Section 117, the Department requests that your Institution produce the following records... (underline added)

It was proper for Sellin to focus on new Fauci funding for the Galveston National Lab with its multiple and perhaps inextricable ties to China. At this time, it is probably impossible to fund virus-related research at American research facilities while guaranteeing that the Chinese government will not be gaining information relevant to our national safety. At the least, serious inquires must be made into the tangled web of relationships with China which seem lopsided in their strategic advantages for the Chinese.

On October 8, 2020, Sellin addressed the second prepublication by Yan and her colleagues.⁸⁵

He introduced his article with the following reasonable observation:

Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Chinese Communist Party supported by some Western scientists and a politically-motivated media have desperately tried to convince the world that the COVID-19 virus originated as a bat beta-coronavirus which underwent a natural mutation process and was then acquired by humans after exposure to infected animals.

Undoubtedly, such subterfuge is meant to protect certain vested interests, including the potentially devastating political and economic consequences for China, global corporate and private investment in China and a negative effect on scientific collaboration and research funding of major Western research laboratories.

After reviewing the article by Yan et al., Sellin concludes, “Dr. Yan’s second scientific article adds one more nail in the coffin of China’s false theory that the COVID-19 pandemic was naturally-occurring.” He does not address whether or not Yan et al. prove that the Chinese military necessarily released the virus as a bioweapon against the world and humanity.

We do not wholly reject the possibility that China intentionally released SARS-CoV-2; but we are more certain that the Communists arranged to make COVID-19 much more damaging to the world than it needed to be. They did this, among other ways, by withholding information about its existence and its origin in their own labs, by delaying the truth that it was highly infectious, and by shutting down most internal travel in China while flooding the world with

airplane travelers who potentially carried the virus. Now we hear from Yan et al. with some confirmation by Col. Sellin that China also created fake viruses in a failed attempt to show that SARS-CoV-2 evolved in nature, thereby delaying a scientific and political understanding of the nature and origin of the virus.

The great weight of evidence demonstrates that SARS-CoV-2 was engineered by researchers under the watchful eyes of the Chinese Communist Party and its military. Furthermore, the weight of evidence is that Fauci's moral, political and financial help enabled the Chinese to develop SARS-CoV-2, ultimately unleashing COVID-19. The recipients of Fauci's NIAID support and funding include Chinese collaborating with American researchers, individual Chinese researchers, the Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Universities, multiple business intermediaries and, in too many cases, individuals closely related to the Chinese armed forces.

Fauci's Self-Serving Misdirection on the Cause of COVID-19

In a [recent "scientific" article](#) in *Cell* authored with one of his assistants, Fauci lied, claiming without reservation or qualification that COVID-19 emerged from nature on its own and not from laboratory tinkering.⁸⁶ Then he did more than ignore his own role in funding the engineering of coronaviruses with China, he blamed us—you and me, humanity—for causing the virus by disrupting nature:

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

SARS-CoV-2 is a deadly addition to the long list of microbial threats to the human species. It forces us to adapt, react, and reconsider the nature of our relationship to the natural world. Emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases are

epiphenomena of human existence and our interactions with each other, and with nature. As human societies grow in size and complexity, we create an endless variety of opportunities for genetically unstable infectious agents to emerge into the unfilled ecologic niches we continue to create. There is nothing new about this situation, except that we now live in a human-dominated world in which our increasingly extreme alterations of the environment induce increasingly extreme backlashes from nature.

Science will surely bring us many life-saving drugs, vaccines, and diagnostics; however, there is no reason to think that these alone can overcome the threat of ever more frequent and deadly emergences of infectious diseases. Evidence suggests that SARS, MERS, and COVID-19 are only the latest examples of a deadly barrage of coming coronavirus and other emergences. The COVID-19 pandemic is yet another reminder, added to the rapidly growing archive of historical reminders, that in a human-dominated world, in which our human activities represent aggressive, damaging, and unbalanced interactions with nature, we will increasingly provoke new disease emergences. We remain at risk for the foreseeable future. COVID-19 is among the most vivid wake-up calls in over a century. It should force us to begin to think in earnest and collectively about living in more thoughtful and creative harmony with nature, even as we plan for nature's inevitable, and always unexpected, surprises.

Fauci declares that COVID-19 is the result of the “human-dominated” world in which we live and he promotes an extreme progressive ideology that massive changes must be made in how we relate to nature. He wants a vast progressive political program to evaluate and change human activity on a global basis:

Disease emergence reflects dynamic balances and imbalances, within complex globally distributed ecosystems comprising humans, animals, pathogens, and the environment. Understanding these variables is a necessary step in controlling future devastating disease emergences.

Fauci blames humanity, this “human-dominated” environment, for causing COVID-19, when he is precisely the single man who contributed most to development of lethal, potentially epidemic coronaviruses. He is also among the men to most benefit from the catastrophe through his close relationships to Bill Gates and the pharmaceutical industry.

Fauci’s stated position may be one of the most colossal misdirections in history—the American most responsible for enabling the Chinese Communists to engineer SARS-CoV-2 in their Wuhan Institute is blaming COVID-19 on humanity’s indiscretions in nature instead of his own nefarious activities in funding Chinese and American laboratories. Working with China, Fauci himself has funded and promoted taking viruses out of nature and engineering them to become pandemic viruses; but now he wants us to take his advice on transforming widespread human activity in nature to make us less disruptive!

Fauci is the Great Disruptor, whose work enabled China to unleash COVID-19 on the world. He is also among the great benefactors of the epidemic that he helped create, vastly increasing his influence and power, and the wealth of the institute he directs.

Time for Us to Act

President Trump and the US Congress, as well as the American people, need to know that Anthony Fauci—working in the service of global interests other than the United States—funded

research that eventually unleashed COVID-19 upon the world. In addition, this same Fauci-funding has enabled China to possess the largest store of coronaviruses in the world, along with the technology to continue turning them into human-infecting agents. Meanwhile, despite its obvious dangers, Fauci continues to fund gain-of-function research that creates deadly viruses which can leak from labs or be released as biological weapons. It is time to fire Fauci, to investigate this entire disaster, and to consider what needs to be done to protect the US and the world from future lab-generated pandemic disasters, whether accidental or intentional.

ENDNOTES

1[¶]Although I have written this report in its entirety and take full responsibility for it, I am deeply grateful to the extensive research help provided by my wife Ginger Breggin and to a critique of the report in early stages generously provided by Meryl Nass, MD. Ginger is listed as coauthor because I could not written this report without her hard work and many insights, making it is truly a joint effort.

2[¶]Morens, D. and Fauci, A. (2020, September 3). Emerging Pandemic Diseases: How We Got to COVID-19. Cell 182, 1099-1091. <https://www.cell.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0092-8674%2820%2931012-6>

3[¶]Our August 30, 2020 report, *COVID-19 & Public Health Totalitarianism: Untoward Effects on Individuals, Institutions and Society*, submitted as a medical expert report for the injunction to stop the continuation of the emergency edict in Ohio. Our report and further information about the lawsuit are available on www.breggin.com at <https://breggin.com/coronavirus/NEW-COVID-19-LEGAL-REPORT.pdf>

4[¶]Sen, S. , 2020, April 30. How China locked down internally for COVID-19, but pushed foreign travel The Economic Times. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/blogs/Whathappensif/how-china-locked-down-internally-for-covid-19-but-pushed-foreign-travel/>

5[¶]Levenson, M., 2020, Jan. 22, Scale of China's Wuhan Shutdown Is Believed to Be Without Precedent. New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/01/22/world/asia/coronavirus-quarantines-history.html>. January 23, 2020 is often cited in the press as the day of the shutdown of Wuhan, but the actual date, as indicated in this article, was the Thursday before the news came out, or January 16, 2020.

6[¶]BBC.com., 2020, August 27, Coronavirus: Flights within China to 'fully recover' next month, BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/business-53927980>

7[¶]Nebehay, S., 2020, February 3, WHO chief says widespread travel bans not needed to beat China virus, Reuters. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-china-health-who-idUSKBN1ZX1H3>

8[¶]Cheng, E. 2020, February 4. China's aviation authority to allow more foreign flights after the U.S. bans Chinese carriers, CNBC. <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/06/04/china-to-allow-more-foreign-flights-after-us-bans-chinese-carriers.html>

9[¶]Source of all data: Eder, S. et al., published April 4, 2020 and Updated April 15, 2020; 430,000 People Have Traveled from China to U.S. Since Coronavirus Surfaced, New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/04/us/coronavirus-china-travel-restrictions.html>

10[¶]OPride Staff, 2017, May 11, he case against WHO director-general candidate Tedros,OPride. <https://www.opride.com/2017/05/11/case-director-general-candidate-tedros-adhanom/>

11[¶]Ghitis, F., 2017, October 25. Another week, another scandal at the United Nations, Washington Post. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/democracy-post/wp/2017/10/25/another-week-another-scandal-at-the-united-nations/>

12[¶]Chakraborty, B. 2020, March 25, WHO chief's questionable past comes into focus following coronavirus response, Fox News. <https://www.foxnews.com/world/who-chief-tedros-questionable-past-coronavirus>

13[¶]McNeil Jr., D., 2017, May 13, Candidate to Lead the W.H.O. Accused of Covering Up Epidemics, *New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/13/health/candidate-who-director-general-ethiopia-cholera-outbreaks.html>

14[¶]Ross, C., 2020, March 24, "Fully Complicit" in the terrible suffering: Health professionals accused him of covering up the previous epidemic to shield two African regimes. <https://nationalinterest.org/blog/buzz/fully-complicit-terrible-suffering-tedros-adhanom-ghebreyesus-blamed-2017-cholera>

15[¶]Higgins-Dunn, N. 2020, February 26,2020. Travel restrictions 'irrelevant' if coronavirus becomes a pandemic, top US health official says, CNBC. <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/02/26/fauci-travel-restrictions-irrelevant-if-coronavirus-becomes-a-pandemic.html>

16[¶]White House Briefing, 2020, March 25. Remarks by President Trump, Vice President Pence, and Members of the Coronavirus Task Force in Press Briefing. The White House. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/remarks-president-trump-vice-president-pence-members-coronavirus-task-force-press-briefing-11/>

17[¶]Morens, D. and Fauci, A. (2020, September 3). Emerging Pandemic Diseases: How We Got to COVID-19. Cell 182, 1099-1091. <https://www.cell.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0092-8674%2820%2931012-6>

18[¶]Areddy, J., 2020, updated May 26, China Rules Out Animal Market and Lab as Coronavirus Origin The Wallstreet Journal. <https://www.wsj.com/articles/china-rules-out-animal-market-and-lab-as-coronavirus-origin-11590517508>

- 19 Cohen, J. Wuhan seafood market may not be source of novel virus spreading globally. 2020, January 26, Science Magazine. <https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/01/wuhan-seafood-market-may-not-be-source-novel-virus-spreading-globally>
- 20 St. Cavish, C., 2020, March 11. Commentary: No, China's fresh food markets did not cause coronavirus, Los Angeles Times. <https://www.latimes.com/food/story/2020-03-11/coronavirus-china-wet-markets>
- 21 Page, J. et. al., 2020, March 6, Missteps, The Wallstreet Journal. <https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-it-all-started-chinas-early-coronavirus-missteps-11583508932>
- 22 Xiao, B. and Xiao, L., 2020, February, The possible origins of 2019-nCoV coronavirus. https://www.lifesitenews.com/images/pdfs/The_Possible_Origins_of_the_2019-nCoV_coronavirus.pdf
- 23 Mishra, A. and Mondal, D. 2020, April 25. Corona leaked likely from Wuhan Institute of Virology: Experts, Sunday Guardian Live. <https://www.sundayguardianlive.com/news/corona-leaked-likely-wuhan-institute-virology-experts>
- 24 Breggin, P. and Breggin, G. Written report and video, April 14 & 15, 2020, 2015 Scientific Paper Proves US & Chinese Scientists Collaborated to Create Coronavirus that Can Infect Humans. Published on www.breggin.com and on Dr. Breggin's YouTube Channel. Find both at: <https://breggin.com/us-chinese-scientists-collaborate-on-coronavirus/>
- 25 Breggin, P. and Breggin, G. Written report and video, April 14 & 15, 2020, 2015 Scientific Paper Proves US & Chinese Scientists Collaborated to Create Coronavirus that Can Infect Humans. Published on www.breggin.com and on Dr. Breggin's YouTube Channel. Find both at: <https://breggin.com/us-chinese-scientists-collaborate-on-coronavirus/>
- 26 Owermhle, S., 2020, April 27, 07:02 PM EDT, Trump cuts U.S. research on bat-human virus transmission over China ties, Politico. <https://www.politico.com/news/2020/04/27/trump-cuts-research-bat-human-virus-china-213076>
- 27 Breggin, P. and Breggin, G. 2020, May 1, A report and a video, Trump Cancels Funding of US/China Research Making Epidemic Viruses. On www.breggin.com. Find both <https://breggin.com/trump-cancels-funding-of-us-china-research-making-epidemic-viruses/>
- 28 Aizenman, N., 2020, April 29, Why the U.S. Government Stopped Funding A Research Project on Bats and Coronaviruses, NPR. <https://www.npr.org/sections/goatsandsoda/2020/04/29/847948272/why-the-u-s-government-stopped-funding-a-research-project-on-bats-and-coronaviruses>
- 29 Williams, S., 2020, April 28, NIH Cancels Funding for Bat Coronavirus Research Project. The Scientist. <https://www.the-scientist.com/news-opinion/nih-cancels-funding-for-bat-coronavirus-research-project-67486>
- 30 Chakraborty, B. & Diaz, A., 2020, July 10, EXCLUSIVE: Chinese virologist accuses Beijing of coronavirus cover-up, flees Hong Kong: 'I know how they treat whistleblowers'. Fox News. <https://www.foxnews.com/world/chinese-virologist-coronavirus-cover-up-flee-hong-kong-whistleblower>
- 31 Sellin, L., 2020, August 4, Refugee Hong Kong Virologist Links COVID-19 to Chinese Military Laboratory, CCNS. <https://ccnationalecurity.org/refugee-hong-kong-virologist-links-covid-19-to-chinese-military-laboratory-laboratory/>
- 32 Sellin, L., 2020, September 6, Did Fauci's NIH Institute Financially Assist China's Military? CCNS. <https://ccnationalecurity.org/did-faucis-nih-institute-financially-assist-chinas-military/>
- 33 NIH News Release, 2020, August 27, NIH establishes Centers for Research in Emerging Infectious Diseases. <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-establishes-centers-research-emerging-infectious-diseases>
- 34 Kelly, M. & Cahlan, S., 2020, Was the new coronavirus accidentally released from a Wuhan lab? It's doubtful., Washington Post. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2020/05/01/was-new-coronavirus-accidentally-released-wuhan-lab-its-doubtful/>
- 35 Rogin, J. 2020, April 14, State Department cables warned of safety issues at Wuhan lab studying bat coronaviruses, Washington Post. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2020/04/14/state-department-cables-warned-safety-issues-wuhan-lab-studying-bat-coronaviruses/>
- 36 Young, A., 2017, January 4, CDC keeps secret its mishaps with deadly germs, US Today. <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/2017/01/04/cdc-secret-lab-incidents-select-agents/95972126/>
- 37 Marin, D., 2014, July 11, CDC Botched Handling of Deadly Flu Virus: The third recent mistake in handling of pathogens is a "wake-up call," says Centers for Disease Control head, Scientific America. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/cdc-botched-handling-of-deadly-flu-virus/>
- 38 McNeil Jr., D., 2017, December 19, A Federal Ban on Making Lethal Viruses Is Lifted, New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/19/health/lethal-viruses-nih.html?_r=0

- 39 Grady, D., 2019, April 5, Deadly Germ Research Is Shut Down at Army Lab Over Safety Concerns, The New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/08/05/health/germs-fort-detrick-biohazard.html>
- 40 Vineet D Menachery, Rachel L Graham, and Ralph S Baric. Jumping species—a mechanism for coronavirus persistence and survival *Curr Opin Virol*. 2017 Apr; 23: 1–7. Published online 2017 Mar 31. doi: [10.1016/j.coviro.2017.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2017.01.002) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5474123/>
- 41 Klotz, L. and Sulvester, E. The Consequences of a Lab Escape of a Potential Pandemic Pathogen *Front Public Health*. 2014; 2: 116. Published online 2014 Aug 11. doi: [10.3389/fpubh.2014.00116](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2014.00116) PMID: [25157347](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25157347/); <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4128296/>
- 42 <https://www.businessinsider.com/5-terrifying-times-pandemics-e> Bender, J. 2014, 2020. There are 5 times infectious diseases have escaped from laboratory containment. *Business Insider*. [scaped-from-laboratories-2014-7](https://www.businessinsider.com/5-terrifying-times-pandemics-e); Young, A. CDC keeps secret its mishaps with deadly germs, USA Today. <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/2017/01/04/cdc-secret-lab-incidents-select-agents/95972126/>
- 43 Martin Furmanski MD Scientist’s Working Group on Chemical and Biologic Weapons Center for Arms Control and Nonproliferation February 17, 2014. <https://armscontrolcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Escaped-Viruses-final-2-17-14-copy.pdf>
- 44 Vineet D Menachery, Boyd L Yount Jr, Kari Debbink1, Sudhakar Agnihothram, Lisa E Gralinski, Jessica A Plante, Rachel L Graham, Trevor Scobey, Xing-Yi Ge, Eric F Donaldson, Scott H Randell, Antonio Lanzavecchia, Wayne A Marasco, Zhengli-Li Shi & Ralph S Baric. A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence. *Nature Medicine*, 21 (12), 1508-1514. December 2015. With follow-up letter included: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nm.3985> <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3985>
- 45 Vineet D Menachery 1, Boyd L Yount Jr 1, Amy C Sims 1, Kari Debbink 2, Sudhakar S Agnihothram 3, Lisa E Gralinski 1, Rachel L Graham 1, Trevor Scobey 1, Jessica A Plante 1, Scott R Royall 1, Jesica Swanstrom 1, Timothy P Sheahan 1, Raymond J Pickles 4, Davide Corti 5, Scott H Randell 6, Antonio Lanzavecchia 7, Wayne A Marasco 8, Ralph S Baric 9. SARS-like WIV1-CoV poised for human emergence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci US A* 113, 3048-53 (2016). Note that the original novel virus is now called WIV1-CoV. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26976607/> Also obtainable at <https://www.pnas.org/content/pnas/113/11/3048.full.pdf>
- 46 Qiu, J., 2020, June 1, How China’s ‘Bat Woman’ Hunted Down Viruses from SARS to the New Coronavirus, *Scientific American*. A comment attached to the article defends China: “*Editor’s Note (4/24/20): This article was originally published online on March 11. It has been updated for inclusion in the June 2020 issue of Scientific American and to address rumors that SARS-CoV-2 emerged from Shi Zhengli’s lab in China.*” <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/how-chinas-bat-woman-hunted-down-viruses-from-sars-to-the-new-coronavirus1/>
- 47 Xing-Yi Ge, Jia-Lu Li1, Xing-Lou Yang, Aleksei A. Chmura, Guangjian Zhu, Jonathan H. Epstein, Jonna K. Mazet, Ben Hu, Wei Zhang, Cheng Peng, Yu-Ji Zhang, Chu-Ming Luo, Bing Tan, Ning Wang, Yan Zhu, Gary Crameri, Shu-Yi Zhang, Lin-Fa Wang, Peter Daszak & Zheng-Li Shi. Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature*, 503 (28), November 2013, pp. 535 ff. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature12711>
- 48 Xing-Yi Ge, Jia-Lu Li1, Xing-Lou Yang, Aleksei A. Chmura, Guangjian Zhu, Jonathan H. Epstein, Jonna K. Mazet, Ben Hu, Wei Zhang, Cheng Peng, Yu-Ji Zhang, Chu-Ming Luo, Bing Tan, Ning Wang, Yan Zhu, Gary Crameri, Shu-Yi Zhang, Lin-Fa Wang, Peter Daszak & Zheng-Li Shi. Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature*, 503 (28), November 2013, pp. 535 ff. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature12711>
- 49 Subbaraman, N., 2020, August 21, ‘Heinous!’: Coronavirus researcher shut down for Wuhan-lab link slams new funding restrictions: Peter Daszak, president of the research organization EcoHealth Alliance, describes how he has been caught in political cross-hairs over his partnership with a virology lab in China, *Nature*. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-02473-4>
- 50 EcoHealth Alliance Partners. Undated, retrieved October 2, 2020 from <https://www.ecohealthalliance.org/partners>
- 51 Guterl, F., 2020, April 27. Dr. Fauci Backed Controversial Wuhan Lab with U.S. Dollars for Risky Coronavirus Research, *Newsweek*. <https://www.newsweek.com/dr-fauci-backed-controversial-wuhan-lab-millions-us-dollars-risky-coronavirus-research-1500741>
- 52 Subbaraman, N., 2020, August 21, ‘Heinous!’: Coronavirus researcher shut down for Wuhan-lab link slams new funding restrictions: Peter Daszak, president of the research organization EcoHealth Alliance, describes how he has been caught in political cross-hairs over his partnership with a virology lab in China, *Nature*. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-02473-4>
- 53 Press Release, 2010, Global Health Leaders Launch Decade of Vaccines Collaboration. Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. <https://www.gatesfoundation.org/Media-Center/Press-Releases/2010/12/Global-Health-Leaders-Launch-Decade-of-Vaccines-Collaboration>

- 54⁵⁴Morens, D. and Fauci, A. (2020, September 3). Emerging Pandemic Diseases: How We Got to COVID-19. *Cell* 182, 1099-1091. <https://www.cell.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0092-8674%2820%2931012-6>
- 55⁵⁵ Thomson, B., 2020, China ‘appoints its top military bio-warfare expert to take over secretive virus lab in Wuhan’, sparking conspiracy theories that coronavirus outbreak is linked to Beijing’s army. Daily Mail. A small-print note states: “PUBLISHED: 06:39 EDT, 14 February 2020 | UPDATED: 13:41 EDT, 14 February 2020,” which is when we first found out about it—long before the new article tries to indicate with its fresh headline. <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8003713/China-appoints-military-bio-weapon-expert-secretive-virus-lab-Wuhan.html>
- 56⁵⁶Obama, Barack, 2014, October 17, From the White House, *Doing Diligence to Assess the Risks and Benefits of Life Sciences Gain-of-Function Research* <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/blog/2014/10/17/doing-diligence-assess-risks-and-benefits-life-sciences-gain-function-research>
- 57⁵⁷Branswell, H., 2015, November 9. SARS-like virus in bats shows potential to infect humans, study finds, STAT.<https://www.statnews.com/2015/11/09/sars-like-virus-bats-shows-potential-infect-humans-study-finds/>
- 58⁵⁸Butler, 2015, April 12, engineered bat virus stirs debate over risky research, *Nature*. <https://www.nature.com/news/engineered-bat-virus-stirs-debate-over-risky-research-1.18787>
- 59⁵⁹NIH Director, 2017, December 19, NIH Lifts Funding Pause on Gain-of-Function Research, Office of the Director of NIH. <https://www.nih.gov/about-nih/who-we-are/nih-director/statements/nih-lifts-funding-pause-gain-function-research>; also, Schnirring, L. 2017, December 19. Feds lift gain-of-function research pause, offer guidance, CIDRAP News. <https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2017/12/feds-lift-gain-function-research-pause-offer-guidance>.
- Akst, J., 2015, Lab-Made Coronavirus Triggers Debate, *The Scientist*. <https://www.the-scientist.com/news-opinion/lab-made-coronavirus-triggers-debate-34502>
- 60⁶⁰ Schnirring, L. 2017, December 19. Feds lift gain-of-function research pause, offer guidance, CIDRAP News. <https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2017/12/feds-lift-gain-function-research-pause-offer-guidance>
- 61⁶¹McNeil Jr., D., 2017, December 19, A Federal Ban on Making Lethal Viruses Is Lifted, *New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/19/health/lethal-viruses-nih.html?_r=0
- 62⁶²Burki, T. Ban on gain-of-function studies ends. The US moratorium on gain-of-function experiments has been rescinded, but scientists are split over the benefits—and risks—of such studies, *www.thelancet.com/infection* Vol 18 February 2018, pp. 148-9. <https://www.thelancet.com/action/showPdf?pii=S1473-3099%2818%2930006-9>
- 63⁶³McNeil Jr., D., 2017, December 19, A Federal Ban on Making Lethal Viruses Is Lifted, *New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/19/health/lethal-viruses-nih.html>
- 64⁶⁴Lin, C. 2020, April 22. Why US outsourced bat virus research to Wuhan US-funded \$3.7 million project approved by Trump's Covid-19 guru Dr Anthony Fauci in 2015 after US ban imposed on 'monster-germ' research, *Asia Times*. <https://asiatimes.com/2020/04/why-us-outsourced-bat-virus-research-to-wuhan/>
- 65⁶⁵ Owen, G. 2020, April 11, Wuhan lab was performing coronavirus experiments on bats from the caves where the disease is believed to have originated - with a £3m grant, *Daily Mail Online*. <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8211257/Wuhan-lab-performing-experiments-bats-coronavirus-caves.html>
- 66⁶⁶<https://www.independentsentinel.com/report-fauci-funded-gof-research-in-wuhan-due-to-incompetence-at-cdc/>
- 67⁶⁷Akst, J., 2015, Lab-Made Coronavirus Triggers Debate, *The Scientist*. <https://www.the-scientist.com/news-opinion/lab-made-coronavirus-triggers-debate-34502>
- 68⁶⁸For a more detailed analysis of the corruption of COVID-19 science, see Breggin, P. and Breggin, G., 2020, August 3, Why COVID-19 Clinical Trials Cannot Be Trusted: The “Gold Standard” for Science Is Gold for the Drug Companies, *www.breggin.com*. Find at: <https://breggin.com/why-covid-19-clinical-trials-cannot-be-trusted/>
- 69⁶⁹Chakraborty, B. & Diaz, A., 2020, July 10, EXCLUSIVE: Chinese virologist accuses Beijing of coronavirus cover-up, flees Hong Kong: ‘I know how they treat whistleblowers’. *Fox News*. <https://www.foxnews.com/world/chinese-virologist-coronavirus-cover-up-flee-hong-kong-whistleblower>
- 70⁷⁰Bowen, E. 2020, July 10. Chinese virologist in hiding after accusing Beijing of coronavirus cover-up, *New York Post*. <https://nypost.com/2020/07/10/chinese-virologist-flees-after-accusing-beijing-of-covid-19-cover-up/>
- 71⁷¹Carlson, T., 2020, September 19, TV appearance on Tucker Carlson of Li-Meng Yan, *Fox News Channel*. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qFlqXPl_hZO
- 72⁷²Yan, Li-Meng Yan ; Kang, Shu; Guan, Jie; Hu, Shanchang. (2020, September 14). Unusual Features of the SARS-CoV-2 Genome Suggesting Sophisticated Laboratory Modification Rather Than Natural Evolution and Delineation of Its Probable Synthetic Route. Prepublication. http://breggin.com/coronavirus/The_Yan_Report.pdf. To confirm the date it was put up and to follow the progress of the paper through publication, go to here: <https://zenodo.org/record/4028830#.X2R2T5NKiuV>

- 73 Becker, M.M. et al. Synthetic recombinant bat SARS-like coronavirus is infectious in cultured cells and in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105, 19944-9 (2008). https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C33&q=Becker%2C+M.M.+et+al.+Synthetic+recombinant+bat+SARS-like+coronavirus+is+infectious+in+cultured+cells+and+in+mice.+Proc+Natl+Acad+Sci+U+S+A+105%2C+19944-9+%282008%29.&btnG=
- 74 Naveira, P., 2020, August 4, Li-Meng Yan: Coronavirus was developed in Chinese military lab, AS English. https://en.as.com/en/2020/08/03/latest_news/1596459547_022260.html
- 75 Yan, Li-Meng; Kang, Shu; Guan, Jie; Hu, Shanchang. 2020, October 8, SARS-CoV-2 Is an Unrestricted Bioweapon: A Truth Revealed through Uncovering a Large-Scale, Organized Scientific Fraud. Prepublication. <https://zenodo.org/record/4073131#.X4OpJOaSk2x> “You can cite all versions by using the DOI [10.5281/zenodo.4073130](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4073130). This DOI represents all versions, and will always resolve to the latest one.”
- 76 Col. Sellin’s brief bio on the CCNS states, “Lawrence Sellin is a retired U.S. Army Reserve colonel with branch qualifications and assignments in Special Forces, Infantry, Chemical and Medical Services. He served in Afghanistan and Iraq and participated in a humanitarian mission to West Africa. Sellin holds a Master’s Degree in Strategic Studies from the U.S. Army War College and received training in Arabic, Kurdish and French from the Defense Language Institute. He had a distinguished civilian career in medical research and international business after completing a Ph.D. in physiology. Sellin retired from IBM, where he was a manager and subject matter expert in telecommunications and command and control systems. He is the author of numerous national security articles.” <https://cnnationalsecurity.org/team/col-lawrence-sellin-ret/>
- 77 Sellin, L., Blogs on CCNS. <https://cnnationalsecurity.org/?s=sellin+blogs>
- 78 Sellin, L., 2020, October 1, Is the COVID-19 Pandemic a Case of Vaccine Research Gone Wrong? CCNS. <https://cnnationalsecurity.org/is-the-covid-19-pandemic-a-case-of-vaccine-research-gone-wrong/>
- 79 Sellin, L., 2020, August 4, Refugee Hong Kong Virologist Links COVID-19 to Chinese Military Laboratory, CCNS. <https://cnnationalsecurity.org/refugee-hong-kong-virologist-links-covid-19-to-chinese-military-laboratory-laboratory/>
- 80 Jones, K., 2020, August 1, [C-19 Disclosure] Who, When, Where, What, How, Why (from Dr. Li meng yan), Aug 1, 2020. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w6lNtUBiqAw&feature=youtu.be>. This is a very valuable resource that in great detail discusses the engineering of SARS-CoV-2 by the Chinese Communist Party and its military https://en.as.com/en/2020/08/03/latest_news/1596459547_022260.html
- 81 Sellin, L., 2020, September 6, Did Fauci’s NIH Institute Financially Assist China’s Military? CCNS. <https://cnnationalsecurity.org/did-faucis-nih-institute-financially-assist-chinas-military/>
- 82** Permission to reproduce this blog in its entirety was kindly given by the author, Col. Lawrence Sellin.
In the News, 2020, April 16. The Galveston National Lab and Wuhan Institute of Virology, Galveston National Laboratory, University of Texas Medical Branch. <https://www.utmb.edu/gnl/news/2020/04/16/the-galveston-national-lab-and-wuhan-institute-of-virology>
- 83 Lynch, D. and McKay, H., 2020, May 1, Prominent university bio lab urged to reveal extent of relationship with Wuhan lab at center of coronavirus outbreak. <https://www.foxnews.com/us/university-texas-biolab-wuhan-connection>
- 84 Rubinstein, R., Principal Deputy General Counsel, 2020, April 24, Letter to James B. Milliken, Chancellor the University of Texas System: Notice of 20 U.S.C. § 1011f Investigation and Record Request/University of Texas System from U.S. Department of Education. <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/leg/ut-apr24-2020.pdf>
- 85** Sellin, L., 2020, October 9. Dr. Li-Meng Yan reveals China’s fake science and the COVID-19 cover-up, WION. <https://www.wionews.com/opinions-blogs/dr-li-meng-yan-reveals-chinas-fake-science-and-the-covid-19-cover-up-333982>
- 86 Morens, D. and Fauci, A. (2020, September 3). Emerging Pandemic Diseases: How We Got to COVID-19. Cell 182, 1099-1091. <https://www.cell.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0092-8674%2820%2931012-6>